




Monitoring and Evaluation Methodology of Anti- Corruption Strategic Documents: Georgian Experience

Zurab Sanikidze

*Director of Analytical Department,
Ministry of Justice of Georgia*

*Secretary of Anti-Corruption Council
of Georgia*

Institutions for Preventing and Combating Corruption



New Anti-Corruption Strategy & Action Plan 2015-2016

New Strategic Priorities



1. Effective interagency coordination for the prevention of corruption



2. Prevention of corruption in public service



3. Openness, access to public information and civil participation in the fight against corruption



4. Education and public awareness raising for the aim of preventing corruption



5. Prevention of corruption in law enforcement bodies, effective detection and prosecution of corruption crimes



6. Prevention of corruption in judiciary

New Anti-Corruption Strategy & Action Plan 2015-2016



7. Ensuring transparency and prevention of corruption risks in public finance and public procurement spheres



8. Prevention of corruption in customs and tax system



9. Prevention of corruption in the judicial system

- 17 Meetings of 9 Thematic Working Groups;
- 7 Meetings of Expert Level WG
- 1 Roundtable and 1 Seminar
- 1 ACC Session



10. Prevention of corruption in the health sector


11. Prevention of corruption in the education sector

12. Prevention of corruption in the defense sector



13. Reduction of corruption risks in independent regulatory bodies

Monitoring Process



New Monitoring Methodology: Report

The major aim is to assess *implementation process* of activities set out for 13 priority areas of the Anti-Corruption Strategy and Action Plan, *identify achieved outcomes* as well as *existing challenges and gaps*

1 STAGE: Progress report and Monitoring Tool

Monitoring Tool includes activities, targets, specific timeframes, responsible agency, partner, budget and is to be filled **biannually by responsible agencies**; **NGO input** is included

Two types of ratings:

- i) **process assessment rating** - activity is *implemented; largely implemented; partly implemented or not implemented*;
- ii) **status assessment rating** – *implementation process is not finalized; implementation is ongoing; implementation is suspended; implementation is terminated or implementation process is finalized.*

New Monitoring Methodology: Report

1 STAGE: Progress report and Monitoring Tool

Final assessment is made by Secretariat and **Progress Report** is prepared

Progress **Report submitted to the ACC** and adopted

2 STAGE: Monitoring Report

Report will be prepared **annually by the ACC Secretariat** to assess implementation process of the Action Plan activities and achieved results

Report will be **based on Progress Reports and Monitoring Tool** submitted by responsible agencies biannually given consideration to the ratings

Monitoring Report will be **submitted to the ACC** for adoption **and to the Government of Georgia**

New Monitoring Methodology: Report

3 STAGE: Evaluation Report

Evaluation Report is aimed at assessing achieved results and their effectiveness/efficiency as well as analysis of existing situation, identification of gaps and challenges in the Action Plan implementation process

Report will be based on **Monitoring Reports** and specific result-oriented indicators set out by the new Action Plan

International **ratings, assessment** of international and local organisations, relevant **publications**, in-depth **interviews/consulations** (if necessary) with representatives from responsible agencies, NGOs or experts will be used

Evaluation Report will be **prepared once in two years**

Evaluation Report will be **submitted to the ACC** and the **Government of Georgia**

THANK YOU!

ACCouncil@justice.gov.ge

